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\begin{abstract}
The structures of the complexes chloro ( $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl)dinitrosylchromium and -tungsten have been redetermined and determined, respectively, by threedimensional, single-crystal X-ray diffraction methods. Crystal data are as follows: $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ : orthorhombic, space group $P 22_{1} 2_{1}, a=10 \cdot 8016$ (9), $b=12.2586$ (13), $c=5.9564$ (6) $\AA, V=788.70$ (2) $\AA^{3}$, $Z=4 .\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ : triclinic, space group $P \overline{1}$, $a=9.8642$ (9), $b=6.8478$ (9), $c=6.8510$ (6) $\AA$, $a=$ 69.700 (9), $\beta=73.135$ (7), $\gamma=88.228(9)^{\circ}, V=$ 414.10(2) $\AA^{3}, Z=2$. Diffraction data for each complex were collected with an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer (Mo $K \alpha$ radiation); the structures were refined by conventional full-matrix, least-squares techniques. Final discrepancy indices were $R=0.023$ and $R_{w}=0.032$ for the Cr complex ( 957 observed reflections) and $R=0.026$ and $R_{w}=0.029$ for the W complex ( 2147 observed reflections). In terms of their gross stereochemical features, the two molecules are isostructural, having 'piano-stool' configurations. The principal structural difference occurs in the $M-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond distances, the $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances being 2.321 (1) and 2.386 (3) $\AA$, respectively. The relatively long $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance suggests somewhat greater ionic character for this linkage, an interpretation which is in accord with the observed physical and chemical properties of both complexes.

## Introduction

During our previous work with Group 6B organometallic nitrosyl complexes, we observed that the compounds $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) M(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right](M=\mathrm{Cr}$, Mo or W) exhibit several interesting trends in their physical (Legzdins \& Malito, 1975; Botto, Kolthammer, Legzdins \& Roberts, 1979) and chemical (Hoyano, Legzdins \& Malito, 1975; Legzdins \& Martin, 1979) properties as the atomic weight of $M$ increases. With a view toward rationalizing these trends, we undertook an X-ray crystallographic investigation of the first and last members of this series. In this paper we report the results of this study.

## Experimental

The complexes [ $\left.\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ (I) and [ $\left(\eta^{5}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ (II) were prepared according to published procedures (Hoyano, Legzdins \& Malito, 1978). Single crystals of both compounds suitable for crystallographic study were obtained by slow cooling of dichloromethane-hexane solutions from 313 K to room temperature. Crystals of both (I) and (II) were selected

Table 1. Crystal data and Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 datacollection procedures for $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ (I) and $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ (II)

|  | (1) | (II) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{ClCrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ |
| $M_{r}$ | 212.6 | 344.4 |
| Space group | P2, $2_{1} 2_{1}$ | P1 |
| $a(\mathrm{~A})$ | 10.8016 (9) | 9.8642 (9) |
| $b$ ( A$)$ | 12.2586 (13) | 6.8478 (9) |
| $c$ ( $)^{\text {) }}$ | 5.9564 (6) | 6.8510 (6) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  | 69.700 (9) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  | 73.135 (7) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  | 88.228 (9) |
| $V\left(\dot{A}^{3}\right)$ | 788.70 (2) | 414.10 (2) |
| $Z$ | 4 | 2 |
| $\rho_{c}\left(\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.790 | 2.761 |
| $\rho_{m}\left(\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | - | 2.75 (2) |
| Radiation | Graphite-mo | hromated Mo Ka |
| Crystal bounding planes | \{100\}, \{001\}, 1110 | 100), (001), (111), (110) and inverses |
| Crystal volume ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) | 0.0184 | 0.0172 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha\right.$ ) $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right.$ ) | 1.64 | 13.63 |
| Transmission factors ( $I$ ) | 0.582-0.854 | 0.063-0.131 |
| Monochromator angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 12.20 (20) | $12 \cdot 20$ (2 2 ) |
| Scan type | $\omega-2 \theta$ | $\omega-2 \theta$ |
| Scan width ( $\Delta \omega$ ) ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $0.60+0.35 \tan \theta$ | $0.65+0.35 \tan \theta$ |
| Aperture width (mm) | $1.5+\tan \theta$ | $1.5+\tan \theta$ |
| Crystal-counter distance (mm) | 173 | 173 |
| Prescan rejection limit | 0.5 (2a) | 0.667 (1.5 5 ) |
| Prescan acceptance limit | 0.033 (30\%) | 0.033 (30\%) |
| Maximum scan speed ( ${ }^{\circ} \min ^{-1}$ ) | 10.06 | 10.06 |
| Maximum counting time (s) | 60 | 50 |
| Collection range | $\begin{gathered} +h,+k,+l \\ 1^{\circ}<\theta \leq 27.5^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} h, \pm k, \pm l \\ 1^{\circ}<\theta \leq 30^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ |
| Unique data | 1064 | 2387 |
| Unique data with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ | 957 | 2147 |
| $p$ | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| X-ray exposure time (h) | 10 | 22 |
| Crystal decomposition | Negligible | Negligible |

via a series of precession photographs which also provided initial unit-cell parameters, the Laue symmetry, and the space groups $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ for (I) and $P 1$ or $P \overline{1}$ for (II). A least-squares analysis of the setting angles of 25 reflections [ $16^{\circ}<\theta<21^{\circ}$ for (I); $20^{\circ}<\theta<25^{\circ}$ for (II)], automatically located and centered on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer using graphitemonochromated Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, provided accurate unit-cell parameters (Table 1). Data were collected at room temperature using the CAD-4 parameters and the procedures summarized in Table 1. Both data sets were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and a Gaussian integration absorption correction (Busing \& Levy, 1957; Coppens, Leiserowitz \& Rabinovich, 1965) was applied.

The published atomic parameters for (I) (Carter, McPhail \& Sim, 1966) were refined by full-matrix least squares including anomalous-dispersion components for Cr and Cl (Cromer \& Liberman, 1970), an isotropic extinction parameter $g$ (Becker \& Coppens, 1974, 1975), and weights $1 / \sigma^{2}(F)$. Scattering factors were taken from Cromer \& Mann (1968). The resulting $R$ value for the 957 observed, absorption-corrected intensities was 0.041 with $\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$, and O anisotropic and ( $1: 1$ ) disordered isotropic C atoms in the $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ ligand. Inversion of the structure to $-x, y, z$ followed by two further cycles of least-squares refinement reduced $R$ to 0.037 with $R_{w}=0.055$ (versus 0.061 for the

Table 2. Final positional parameters for $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]\left(\right.$ fractional $\times 10^{3}$; for Cr and $\mathrm{Cl} \times 10^{5}$; for N and $\mathrm{O} \times 10^{4}$ ) with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Cr | $2727(4)$ | $64191(3)$ | $43203(7)$ |
| Cl | $-2369(10)$ | $78982(7)$ | $65705(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1433(3)$ | $5863(2)$ | $5924(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2209(4)$ | $5364(3)$ | $6782(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1277(2)$ | $7019(3)$ | $2459(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1914(3)$ | $7278(3)$ | $987(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(11)$ | $-106(4)$ | $611(4)$ | $150(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(12)$ | $-175(2)$ | $642(1)$ | $333(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(13)$ | $-155(2)$ | $565(2)$ | $498(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(14)$ | $-78(2)$ | $489(1)$ | $424(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(15)$ | $-35(2)$ | $517(2)$ | $213(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(21)$ | $-109(2)$ | $513(2)$ | $509(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(22)$ | $-30(1)$ | $477(1)$ | $349(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(23)$ | $-43(2)$ | $539(2)$ | $155(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(24)$ | $-124(3)$ | $623(3)$ | $204(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(25)$ | $-171(1)$ | $604(1)$ | $420(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(11)$ | -96 | 639 | -1 |
| $\mathrm{H}(12)$ | -222 | 709 | 329 |
| $\mathrm{H}(13)$ | -200 | 575 | 643 |
| $\mathrm{H}(14)$ | -57 | 429 | 525 |
| $\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 20 | 471 | 134 |
| $\mathrm{H}(21)$ | -132 | 495 | 651 |
| $\mathrm{H}(22)$ | 21 | 413 | 385 |
| $\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 7 | 522 | 28 |
| $\mathrm{H}(24)$ | -138 | 678 | 95 |
| $\mathrm{H}(25)$ | -234 | 651 | 473 |

initial refinement), thereby clearly indicating the chirality of the chosen crystal. The C atom thermal parameters suggested that a weight of 0.5 was acceptable, even though the cyclopentadienyl ring geometries were somewhat uneven. By allowing the C atoms to refine anisotropically, the ring geometries were much improved, $R$ was reduced to 0.027 , and a difference Fourier synthesis contained peaks at the expected positions of the H atoms. Idealized positions for these half-weight H atoms were calculated $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.95 \AA$, X-ray determination), and they were included in the model with isotropic $B$ values set at $110 \%$ of the converged isotropic equivalent of the C atom to which they were bonded. The H atom scattering factors were taken from Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965). Further least-squares refinement with fixed H atom parameters reduced $R$ to the final value of 0.023 , with $R_{w}=0.032$ for the 957 observed reflections. Shift/error ratios of up to 2.5 for the disordered $C$ parameters, related by correlation coefficients as high as $0 \cdot 9$, could not be improved by repeated cycles of least squares. The average shift/error for the full-weight atoms was 0.09 in the last cycle. The final value of $g$ was $3.8(4) \times$ $10^{4}$ and the function minimized was $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} ;$ weighting analyses confirmed the suitability of the chosen $1 / \sigma^{2}(F)$ weights. A final difference Fourier synthesis contained peaks of up to $\pm 0.3 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ in the region of the heavy atoms and up to $\pm 0.2$ e $\AA^{-3}$ elsewhere. Final atomic positional parameters are presented in Table 2.*

The structure of (II) was solved by Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by full-matrix least squares. A three-dimensional Patterson synthesis provided positions for W and Cl and confirmed the space group $P \overline{1}$. Three cycles of isotropic full-matrix leastsquares refinement followed by two anisotropic cycles gave $R=0.089$, with use of unit weights and minimization of $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$. A Fourier synthesis revealed the nitrosyl groups, and their inclusion in the refinement with anisotropic thermal parameters gave $R$ $=0.058$. A further Fourier synthesis contained peaks consistent with a disordered $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ ligand having two alternative configurations of similar weight. The C atoms of the two configurations were included in the model with 0.5 population parameters and isotropic temperature factors. Following three cycles of least squares, $R$ was reduced to 0.032 ; all ten C atoms had similar, refined $U$ values ( $3 \cdot 7-5 \cdot 1 \AA^{2}$ ). At this stage, the anomalous-dispersion corrections (Cromer \& Liberman, 1970) for W and Cl were included along with an isotropic extinction parameter $g$ (Becker \& Coppens, 1974, 1975), and further refinement reduced $R$ to

[^0]0.026 . A difference Fourier synthesis did not allow the $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{H}$ atoms to be located, and their inclusion at calculated positions produced a wide variation of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distances ( $1 \cdot 30-1 \cdot 62 \AA$ ) which were less acceplable than those prior to their addition. Anisotropic refinement of the cyclopentadienyl C atoms also produced a worse geometry along with unacceptable thermal parameters. The refinement was concluded with three cycles of full-matrix least squares with anisotropic W , $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$, and O atoms and disordered ( $1: 1$ ) isotropic C atoms in the $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ rings. Scattering factors for all atoms were taken from Cromer \& Mann (1968). The final value of $R$ was 0.026 for the 2147 observed reflections, with $R_{w}=0.029$. Unit weights appeared to be more acceptable than those derived from standard errors, the final standard deviation of an observation of unit weight ( $\sigma_{1}$ ) being $1 \cdot 10$. The final value of $g$ was $1.01(4) \times 10^{4}$, and mean and maximum parameter shifts in the last cycle were 0.004 and 0.022 e.s.d.'s, respectively. A final difference Fourier synthesis contained peaks of up to $\pm 0.9 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ in the region of the heavy atoms, up to $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ in the $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ region, and random fluctuations of up to $\pm 0.3 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$. Final atomic positional parameters are presented in Table 3.*

## Discussion

The crystal structures of $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ (I) and $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ (II) consist of well separated arrays of discrete molecular units, the intermolecular distances generally corresponding to van der Waals contacts. The crystal packing for (II) is illustrated in Fig. 1. Both molecules are in 'piano-stool' con-

* See previous footnote.

Table 3. Final positional parameters for $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right.$ $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( fractional $\times 10^{3}$; for $\mathrm{W} \times 10^{5}$; for Cl , N and $\mathrm{O} \times 10^{4}$ ) with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W | $22871(4)$ | $33433(5)$ | $7169(5)$ |
| Cl | $1987(4)$ | $6474(4)$ | $1533(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $3103(9)$ | $1731(13)$ | $2762(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $3746(10)$ | $610(14)$ | $3766(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $560(9)$ | $1921(15)$ | $2248(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $-495(10)$ | $838(17)$ | $2996(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(11)$ | $385(3)$ | $220(3)$ | $813(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(12)$ | $431(2)$ | $441(4)$ | $764(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(13)$ | $321(3)$ | $560(3)$ | $704(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(14)$ | $207(2)$ | $428(4)$ | $721(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(15)$ | $244(3)$ | $208(4)$ | $792(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(21)$ | $250(3)$ | $526(4)$ | $696(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(22)$ | $385(3)$ | $538(4)$ | $724(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(23)$ | $431(2)$ | $340(5)$ | $784(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(24)$ | $319(3)$ | $190(3)$ | $803(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(25)$ | $212(2)$ | $316(5)$ | $741(4)$ |



Fig. 1. Stereoscopic view of the crystal packing for $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level with only one of the half-weight cyclopentadienyl rings shown.



Fig. 2. Molecular structure of $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ with only one of the half-weight cyclopentadienyl rings shown. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.
figurations; the molecular structure of (II) is shown in Fig. 2. Bond distances and interbond angles for both complexes are given in Table 4. The geometrical parameters for (I) do not differ significantly from the values obtained previously from film data (Carter, McPhail \& Sim, 1966), but they now have sufficiently small estimated standard deviations to permit a meaningful comparison with those of (II). In terms of their gross stereochemical features, the two molecules are isostructural. Furthermore, the geometries of the cyclopentadienyl and nitrosyl ligands are completely consistent with their functioning as five- and threeelectron donors, respectively, to the metal centers in both (I) and (II).

The most chemically interesting comparison between the two structures involves the $M-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond distances. The $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance of 2.321 (1) $\AA$ is only $0.065 \AA$ shorter than the $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond length of $2 \cdot 386$ (3) $\AA$, whereas the average $M-\mathrm{N}$ and $M-\mathrm{Cp}$ distances are shorter by 0.108 and $0.164 \AA$, respectively, in the Cr complex. The relatively long $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance suggests somewhat greater ionic character for this linkage in (I),

Table 4. Bond distances $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) M(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ complexes

CP designates the unweighted centroid of the cyclopentadienyl ring. Cp designates a cyclopentadienyl carbon atom.

|  | (I) $M=\mathrm{Cr}$ | (II) $M=W$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 2.321 (1) | 2.386 (3) |
| $M-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.717 (3) | 1.832 (9) |
| $M-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1.717 (3) | 1.819 (8) |
| $M-\mathrm{CP}$ | 1.851 | 2.015 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.157 (4) | 1.15 (1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.159 (4) | $1 \cdot 17$ (1) |
| $M-\mathrm{Cp}(11)$ | 2.24 (3) | 2.33 (2) |
| $M-\mathrm{Cp}(12)$ | 2.26 (2) | $2 \cdot 35$ (2) |
| $M-\mathrm{Cp}(13)$ | 2.22 (2) | 2.37 (2) |
| $M-\mathrm{Cp}(14)$ | $2 \cdot 19$ (1) | $2 \cdot 33$ (2) |
| $M-\mathrm{Cp}(15)$ | $2 \cdot 12$ (2) | 2.32 (2) |
| $M-\mathrm{Cp}(21)$ | $2 \cdot 20$ (2) | 2.40 (2) |
| $M-\mathrm{Cp}(22)$ | $2 \cdot 17$ (2) | $2 \cdot 39$ (2) |
| $M-\mathrm{Cp}(23)$ | 2.21 (2) | $2 \cdot 35$ (2) |
| $M-\mathrm{Cp}(24)$ | 2.14 (3) | $2 \cdot 32$ (2) |
| $M-\mathrm{Cp}(25)$ | $2 \cdot 19$ (1) | $2 \cdot 36$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(11)-\mathrm{Cp}(12)$ | 1.37 (2) | 1.48 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(12)-\mathrm{Cp}(13)$ | 1.38 (2) | 1.40 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(13)-\mathrm{Cp}(14)$ | 1.32 (3) | 1.42 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(14)-\mathrm{Cp}(15)$ | 1.39 (3) | 1.48 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(15)-\mathrm{Cp}(11)$ | 1.43 (6) | 1.45 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(21)-\mathrm{Cp}(22)$ | 1.36 (2) | 1.41 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(22)-\mathrm{Cp}(23)$ | 1.39 (3) | 1.38 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(23)-\mathrm{Cp}(24)$ | 1.38 (4) | 1.48 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(24)-\mathrm{Cp}(25)$ | 1.40 (3) | 1.40 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(25)-\mathrm{Cp}(21)$ | 1.40 (3) | 1.40 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-M-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 93.9 (1) | 92.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-M-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 99.3 (1) | 102.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $100 \cdot 8$ (1) | 101.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{CP}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 116.8 | 115.0 |
| $\mathrm{CP}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 121.6 | $120 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{CP}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 119.7 | 121.8 |
| $M-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 170.0 (3) | 168.4 (8) |
| $M-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 168.8 (3) | 169.0 (1.0) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(11)-\mathrm{Cp}(12)-\mathrm{Cp}(13)$ | 107 (3) | 106 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(12)-\mathrm{Cp}(13)-\mathrm{Cp}(14)$ | 110 (2) | 110 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(13)-\mathrm{Cp}(14)-\mathrm{Cp}(15)$ | 110 (1) | 109 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(14)-\mathrm{Cp}(15)-\mathrm{Cp}(11)$ | 105 (2) | 105 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(15)-\mathrm{Cp}(11)-\mathrm{Cp}(12)$ | 108 (3) | 109 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(21)-\mathrm{Cp}(22)-\mathrm{Cp}(23)$ | 110 (2) | 108 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(22)-\mathrm{Cp}(23)-\mathrm{Cp}(24)$ | 108 (2) | 109 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(23)-\mathrm{Cp}(24)-\mathrm{Cp}(25)$ | 107 (3) | 104 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(24)-\mathrm{Cp}(25)-\mathrm{Cp}(21)$ | 108 (2) | 110 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(25)-\mathrm{Cp}(21)-\mathrm{Cp}(22)$ | 107 (2) | 108 (2) |

which in the extreme can be represented as [ $\eta^{5}$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right]^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, an ionic complex containing a sixteen-electron organometallic cation. In contrast, the $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance implies the existence of a much more covalent bond, and (II) is thus best viewed as a neutral, eighteen-electron complex. Such an interpretation of the structural results is in accord with the observed properties of both complexes.

Both (I) and (II) are stable towards $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, but only (I) shows any appreciable solubility in this solvent. Treatment of the aqueous solution with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ results in the ready formation of $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$, an
ionic complex which is soluble in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and polar organic solvents and displays good air stability (Malito, 1976). On the other hand, attempts to prepare the analogous $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ by any of the reactions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]+\mathrm{AgBF}_{4} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}]{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}} \\
& {\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]+\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}]{\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}} \\
& {\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]+\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CBF}_{4} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

result in the formation of ill defined green oils. Tractable products are obtained only if these reactions are carried out in donor solvents such as $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and if the resulting reaction mixtures are treated with either neutral Lewis bases, $L$, or halide ions, $X^{-}$(Legzdins \& Martin, 1979). The respective products obtained, namely $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} L\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ and $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right.$ $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} X$ l, reflect the tendency of W to attain the favored eighteen-electron valence configuration in complexes of this type.

Both (I) and (II) can be converted to [ $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ $\left.M(\mathrm{NO})_{2} R\right] \quad(M=\mathrm{Cr}$ or $\mathrm{W} ; R=$ alkyl or aryl) complexes by treatment with $\mathrm{Al} R_{3}$ reagents, but the conversions involving (II) require much longer reaction times and proceed in lower yields (Hoyano, Legzdins \& Malito, 1975). Complex (I) is also alkylated by Grignard reagents, whereas (II) is not. These observations are consistent with the presence of a more easily cleaved $M-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond in (I).

A $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of (I) displays absorptions attributable to the nitrosyl ligands at 1816 and 1711 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in its infrared spectrum, whereas a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of (II) exhibits $v(\mathrm{NO})$ at 1733 and $1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Legzdins \& Malito, 1975). These spectral data indicate that retrodative bonding of the metal atom to the nitrosyl groups occurs to a smaller extent in (I). This feature is in accord with the view that the metal center in (I) is more cationic in character than that in (II) and hence has less $\pi$-electron density available for $d \pi \rightarrow p \pi^{*}$ bonding with the NO ligands. The anomalous ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ chemical shift observed for (I) (Botto, Kolthammer, Legzdins \& Roberts, 1979) may also be a manifestation of the more positive character of the Cr atom. The physical and chemical properties of the molybdenum congener, $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$, resemble more nearly those displayed by the tungsten complex, (II), thereby implying the existence of a principally covalent $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond.
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#### Abstract

$\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{11}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}^{-}\right]_{2} .9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BaN}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{24}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Ru}_{2} .9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, is triclinic, space group $P \overline{1}$, with $a=$ 12.81 (1), $b=14.12(1), c=15.61$ (1) $\AA, a=$ $96.5(1), \beta=99.7(1), \gamma=122.1(1)^{\circ}, Z=2$. The structure was refined to $R=0.091$ ( $R_{w}=0.102$ ). The chelation of the divalent Ru is similar to that in $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{1 \mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{-} .3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The Ba atom is surrounded by nine O atoms forming a deformed tricapped trigonal prism.


## Introduction

The reaction between trans- $\mathrm{Na}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{4}\right]$ and barbituric acid $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ in aqueous solution gives a deep-red complex which has been characterized by IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and magnetic measurements as sodium tris(dihydrogenviolurato)ruthenate(II)
(Bremard, Muller, Nowogrocki \& Sueur, 1977). The present salt is isolated in high yield ( $95 \%$ ) from the reaction mixture by addition of the precipitating cation $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$.


Fig. 1. Schematic structure and bond lengths $(\AA)$ of the dihydrogenviolurate ion in the hydrated potassium salt, with numbering scheme.


[^0]:    * Lists of thermal parameters and structure factors have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 34983 ( 33 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

